Broward MPO Complete Streets Master Plan Prioritization Criteria

Max Points of 10

Connectivity	Points	Mobility	Points	Safety	Points	Economic Development	Points
Activity Centers (1)		Transit Ridership		Pedestrian/Bicycle Crashes		Equity ⁽³⁾	
Project corridor connects to activity centers within 0.25 miles	1	Project corridor's average daily boarding and alighting is greater than 1,200.	2	Project corridor is within the Pedestrian and/or Bicycle Hot Spot	1	Project corridor is within a higher concentration of vulnerable populations	2
Project corridor connects to activity centers within 0.50 miles	0.5	Project corridor is within the average weekday boarding and alighting from 940 to 1,200.	1.5	Project corridor is not within the Pedestrian and/or Bicycle Hot Spot	0	Project corridor is within a medium to high concentration of vulnerable populations	1.5
Project corridor does not connect to activity centers within 0.50 miles	0	Project corridor is within the average weekday boarding and alighting from 520 to 940.	1			Project corridor is within a medium concentration of vulnerable populations	1
		Project corridor is within the average weekday boarding and alighting from 170 to 520.	0.5			Project corridor is within a low to medium concentration of vulnerable populations	0.5
		Project corridor's average weekday boarding and alighting is less than 170	0			Project corridor is within a lower concentration of vulnerable populations	0
Data Source		Data Source					
Broward County GIS		Broward MPO CSLIP				Data Carrier	
http://gis.broward.org/GISData.htm		http://www.browardmpo.org/index.php/major-functions/complete-streets-localized-initiatives-prog	<u>gram</u>	Data Source Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Action Plan (Crash Data 2010-2014)		Data Source American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estima 2015)	tes (2011-
Demand ⁽²⁾		Total Activity Count		Intersection/Crossing Relationship Densi	ty		
Project corridor is within a higher demand for active transportation	1	Project corridor AADT is greater than 86,201	1	Project corridor is within a low density of traffic signals	1		
Project corridor is within a medium to high demand for active transportation	0.75	Project corridor AADT is from 46,001 to 86,200	0.75	Project corridor is within a medium density of traffic signals	0.5		
Project corridor is within a medium demand for active transportation	0.5	Project corridor AADT is from 27,501 to 46,000	0.5	Project corridor is within a high density of traffic signals	0		
Project corridor is within a low to medium demand for active transportation	0.25	Project corridor AADT is from 13,201 to 27,500	0.25				
Project corridor is within a lower demand for active transportation	0	Project corridor AADT is less than 13,200	0				
		Data Source					
Data Source		FDOT Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) 2016		Data Source			
2010 United Census (U.S.), 2014 Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamic (LEHD), Work-Area Characteristics, Broward County GIS		http://www.fdot.gov/planning/statistics/gis/		Broward County GIS			
Walk Score							
Walk Score/100	0-1.00						
Data Source							
https://www.walkscore.com/							

⁽¹⁾Activity Centers includes Colleges, Universities, Hospitals, Parks, Libraries,

⁽²⁾Demand analysis includes the composite of Population Density, Employment Density, Retail Density, Existing Parks and Trails Facilities, School Locations, and Transit Stop Locations. The ranges of the demand are based off of the means for each composite.

⁽³⁾ Equity analysis includes the composite of Age, Race, Income, Educational Attainment, Limited English Proficiency, and Access to a Vehicle. The ranges of the concentration are based off of the means for each composite.